	IN CLERKS OFFICE
	IN CLERKS OFFICE
IN THE UNITED STAT	
EOR THE DISTRICT	OF MASSACHUSE TUBSFEB 10 A 10:58
05~102	0.) DISTRICT COUR:
KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD	OISTRICT OF MARK
	\ \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\
Plaintiff, MAGISTRATE JUDG	E New Many
Wireles) SECEIPT #_6/996
V.) AMOUNT \$ 050
	SUMMONS ISSUED 125
PAUL HAMMOND and ARIES TAVERN IN	LOCAL RULE 4.1
d/b/a PAUL'S IN THE SQUARE) WAIVER FORM
) MCF ISSUED
Defendants,) BY DPTY, OLK, FOW
·	DATE 2 1005
	Miles

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP, LTD., by and through its undersigned attorney, Gary D. Berkowitz, Esq., sues the Defendants PAUL HAMMOND and ARIES TAVERN, INC d/b/a PAUL'S IN THE SQUARE

Jurisdiction

- 1. This action arises under Section 705 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. §§ 605 and 553.
 - 2. Jurisdiction in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1331.
- 3. Venue in this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) as these claims arose in this district.

Parties

- 4. The Plaintiff, KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.. "KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.." is a corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with its principal office and place of business located in Deerfield Beach, Florida.
 - 5. The Defendant, PAUL HAMMOND and ARIES TAVERN, INC is a

Massachusetts corporation authorized to and transacting business as "Paul's in the Square" from its principal place of business located at 571 Washington Street, Dorchester, MA.

6. Defendant, Paul Hammond, is, upon information and belief, owner of Paul's in the Square.

Preliminary Background

- 7. KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD. entered into a closed-circuit television license agreement to exhibit the closed-circuit telecast of the March 1, 2003 Championship boxing match between Roy Jones, Jr. V. John Ruiz, from the Thomas & Mack Center, Las Vegas, Nevada, including undercard or preliminary bouts (the boxing match and all related bouts are collectively referred to as the "Event"), at closed-circuit locations such as theaters, arenas, bars, clubs, lounges, restaurants and the like throughout Massachusetts (the "License Agreement"). KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD. paid substantial fees for its license.
- 8. **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.** entered into the License Agreement for the purpose of distributing for a commercial gain the closed-circuit broadcast of the Event to various business establishments throughout Massachusetts.
- 9. The closed-circuit broadcast of the Event was not intended for the use of the general public. In Massachusetts, the closed-circuit broadcast of the Event could only be exhibited in a commercial establishment if said establishment was contractually authorized to do so by KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD..
- Pursuant to the License Agreement, KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.,
 LTD. marketed and distributed the closed-circuit rights granted to it. KINGVISION PAY-

PER-VIEW CORP., LTD., through its agents, contracted with various establishments throughout Massachusetts and granted to such establishments the right to broadcast the Event in exchange for a fee.

- 11. The transmission of the Event was electronically coded or "scrambled". In order for the signal to be received and telecast clearly, it had to be decoded with electronic decoding equipment.
- The transmission of the Event was available to the Defendants to purchase for broadcast in PAUL'S IN THE SQUARE. Had they done so, they would have been authorized to receive, transmit and publish the Event in PAUL'S IN THE SQUARE. Defendants did not, however, contract with **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** or any of its agents, to obtain the rights to broadcast the Event.
- 13. The establishments which contracted with **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** to broadcast the Event were provided with the electronic decoding capability and/or satellite coordinates necessary to receive the signal of the Event.
- 14. On March 1, 2003, in violation of **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.**'s rights and federal and state law, the Defendants willfully intercepted and/or received the interstate communication of the Event. In the alternative, the Defendants assisted in the receipt of the interstate communication of the Event. The Defendants then transmitted, divulged and published said communication, or assisted in transmitting, divulging and publishing said communication, to patrons within PAUL'S IN THE SQUARE.
- 15. The Defendants misappropriated **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.**'s licensed exhibition of the Event and infringed upon **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.**'s exclusive rights while avoiding proper payment to **KINGVISION PAY-PER-**

VIEW CORP., LTD. Defendants' actions were committed willfully and with the express purpose and intent to secure a commercial advantage and private financial gain.

- 16. The Defendants enabled the patrons within PAUL'S IN THE SQUARE to view the Event to which neither the Defendants nor the patrons were entitled to do.
- 17. The persons whom Defendants permitted to view the Event would otherwise have been able to view it at a commercial establishment only if said commercial establishment was properly licensed and authorized by **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, LTD..
- 18. The Defendants were not authorized to intercept, receive or transmit the communication of the Event or to assist in such actions in any form or at any time.

COUNT I

VIOLATION OF 47 U.S.C. §553

- 19. **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** hereby incorporates the allegations set forth in Paragraphs 1 through 18 above as if the same were fully set forth and realleged herein.
- 20. Te Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. \$553 (Section 553") provides, in relevant part:

No person shall intercept or receive or assist in intercepting or receiving any communications service offered over a cable system, unless specifically authorized to do so by a cable operator or as may otherwise be specifically authorized by law. 47 U.S.C. §553(a)(1).

- The Defendants' wrongful actions in connection with the Event, as described above, were in violation of Section 553.
- 22. Section 553(c)(1) provides that "[a]ny person aggrieved by any violation of subsection (a)(1) of [§ 553] may bring a civil action in a United States district court" to

recover damages as described further in § 553(c).

- 23. KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD. is a person aggrieved by Defendants' violations of Section 553, and it is therefore authorized to institute this action against the Defendants to recover damages from the Defendants for their violations of Section 553 and their interference with KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.'s proprietary rights.
- 24. As a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** is entitled to the statutory damages provided for in Section 553.
- 25. Because of Defendants' wrongful actions, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.** is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for (a) statutory damages in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) pursuant to § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii); (b) statutory damages for willfulness in the amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00), pursuant to § 553(c)(3)(B); and (c) full costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to § 553(c)(2)(C).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.**, requests that this Court enter judgment, jointly and severally, against Defendants PAUL HAMMOND and PAUL'S IN THE SQUARE, for:

- (\$10,000.00) pursuant to § 553(c)(3)(A)(ii);
- (b) Statutory damages for willfulness in the amount of Fifty Thousand Dollars (\$50,000.00), pursuant to § 553(c)(3)(B);
- (c) Full costs and expenses of this action, including reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to $\S 553(c)(2)(C)$; and

(d) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

COUNT II

VIOLATION OF 47 U.S.C. § 605

- 26. The Plaintiff hereby incorporates the allegations contained in Paragraphs 1 through 25 of the Complaint.
- 27. The Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. § 605 ("Section 605"), provides in relevant part:
 - ... No person not being authorized by the sender shall intercept any radio communication and divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such intercepted communication to any person. No person not being entitled thereto shall receive or assist in receiving any interstate or foreign communication by radio and use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for benefit of another not entitled thereto. No person having received any intercepted radio communication or having become acquainted with the contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any part thereof) knowing that such communication was intercepted, shall divulge or publish the existence, contents, substance, purport, effect, or meaning of such communication (or any part thereof) or use such communication (or any information therein contained) for his own benefit or for the benefit of another not entitled thereto.
- 28. The Defendants' wrongful actions in connection with the Event, as described above, were in violation of Section 605.
- 29. Section 605(d)(6) provides that "any person with proprietary rights in the intercepted communication . . ." may bring a private cause of action against one who acts in violation of Section 605.
- 30. By virtue of the License Agreement, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.** maintained proprietary rights in the intercepted communication of the Event. Therefore, **KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP.**, **LTD.** is an aggrieved person and is entitled to recover damages from the Defendants for their violations of Section 605 and

their interference with KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD.'s proprietary rights.

31. As a result of the Defendants' wrongful acts, KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD. is entitled to the statutory damages provided for in Section 605.

32. Because of Defendants' wrongful actions, KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD. is entitled to judgment against the Defendants for (a) statutory damages in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00), pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II); (b) statutory damages in the amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00), pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(C)(ii); and (c) full costs, including reasonable attorney's fees, pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii).

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, KINGVISION PAY-PER-VIEW CORP., LTD., requests that this Court enter judgment, jointly and severally, against the Defendants PAUL HAMMOND . and PAUL'S IN THE SQUARE, for:

- (a) Statutory damages in the amount of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(C)(i)(II);
- (b) Statutory damages in the amount of One Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$100,000.00) pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(C)(ii);
- (c) Full costs and expenses of this action, including attorney's fees pursuant to § 605(e)(3)(B)(iii); and
 - (d) Such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: February 8, 2005

Gary D. Berkowitz, Esq. #/632536

One James Street Providence, RI 02903

(401) 751-7671

SATELLITE PIRATE CASE WORKSHEET

Defendant's business name: Paul's in the Square
Address: 5718 Washington St. Dorchester (Baston)
Tel # of Town Liquor License Board: 617 635 - 4604
Name of Liquor License Holder heung Kong Enterprise:
SECRETARY OF COMMONWEALTH/ STATE
Correct Corporate Name: A river Tavern, Inc.
Is corporation active? <u>Jes</u> If not, when revoked:
President Name: Paul Jammon
Address: 31 Hilsview Rd Milton

Agent For Service of Process:

Address:____

JS 44 (Rev. 07/89)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filling and service displating or other papers as required by law except as provided by

rules of court. This form, app sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS	roved by the Judicial Confere ON THE REVERSE OF THE	nce of the United States in FORM.)	Septembe	1974, is required	RKS	UFFI	Court for the	ourpose of initiating	the civil	by local I docket	
I (a) PLAINTIFFS				PAUL HAMMOND and ARIES							
KINGVISION PAY- PER- VIEW, LTD.				U.STANERINI INGR d/b/a DIPRILII SOFIMINE SQUARE							
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF Broward, (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED							
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM N	IAME, ADDRESS, AND TEL	EPHONE NUMBER)		ATTORNEYS (IF	KNOWN)						
GARY D. BERKO	WITZ FSO										
One James Str											
Providence, R											
tel: (401) 7											
II. BASIS OF JUF		ACE AN K IN ONE BOX ONLY)		TIZENSHIP or Diversity Cases		RINCII		ES (PLACE ANTIFF AND ONE BOX	AN × IN C		
☐ 1 U.S. Government	X 3 Federal Que		,,,	, bivolon, cases	•					,	
Plaintiff	(U.S. Gover	nment Not a Party)	CHizes	of This State	PTF (ncorporated or F	Namaiani Diana	_	DEF	
2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity	Citizonship of	,				of Business in	•	U 4	□ 4	
Deteridan		Citizenship of in Item (II)	Citizen	of Another State	□ 2 [] 2 1	ncorporated and of Business in	•	□ 5	□ 5	
				or Subject of a gn Country	□3 [□ 3 F	oreign Nation	valoute oldic	□ 6	□ 6	
V. CAUSE OF AC NO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATU 47 USC § 60. V. NATURE OF SU	TES UMLESS DIVERSITY) 5 (FCC radio in	nterception)									
CONTRACT		RTS	1	ORFEITURE /PENALT	Υ	BAN	KRUPTCY	OTHER STA	TUTES		
110 Insurance 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment A Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Detaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Stander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 355 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury – Med Malpractice 365 Personal Injury – Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPERTY 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 385 Property Damage	62 63 64 65 66 69	O Agriculture O Other Food & Drug O Other Food & Drug Property 21 USC 8 O Liquor Laws O R.R. & Truck O Airline Regs O Occupational Salety/Featth O Other LA80R Fair Labor Standard Act U Labor/Momt.	e of 1881	PROPEI PROPEI 820 Cop 830 Pass 840 Trac SOCIAL 861 HIV 862 Bia	400 400 400 400 400 400 20 USC 158 410 410 420		State Plasportionment Antitrust Banks and Banking Dommerce/ICC Rates/etc Deportation Backeteer Influenced and Dorrupt Organizations Selective Service Becunites/Commodilies/ Exchange Customer Challenge 2 USC 3410 Egicultural Acts		
195 Confract Product Liability	Injury	Product Liability		Relations O Lebor/Mgmt.		□ 864 ssi	D Title XVI	S92 Econom Act			
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		Reporting & Disclosure Act		□ 865 RS		□ 893 Environ □ 894 Energy	Allocation		
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	441 Voting U 442 Employment	510 Motions to Vacate	74	O Railway Labor			TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedor Informat			
230 Remt Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property Sentence Habeas Corpus Accommodations 442 Weffare 440 Other Civil Rights Sentence Habeas Corpus 530 Generat 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Other 550 Other		1	O Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	1	or 0 871 IAS	es (U.S. Plainlift lefendant) — Third Party USC 7609	() 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice				
I. ORIGIN		(PLACE AN × I	N ONE	BOX ONLY)				Арре	eal to D	istrict	
XX 1 Original	2 Removed from 3 3 State Court		4 Reinst Reope	ated or 11.5 a	fransferrei another di specify)		. 3 6 Multidistri Litigation	**	je from istrate iment		
II. REQUESTED I	N CHECK IF THIS IS .	A CLASS ACTION		DEMAND			Check YES only i	I demanded in co			
				100,0	000		JURY DEMA	TAID. 13 Ag	ES X	X_{MO}	

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions) IF ANY

JUDGE

DOCKET NUMBER

DATE **2/11/05**

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD